Basic Tree Risk Ass	sessmen	t Form	n	
Client	Date V31/	23 T	ime_II:32	
Address/Tree location 12 Man Tree species Accordon dbh 5" Assessor(s) Tools used	Tree i	no. 04	Sheet <mark>し</mark>	of
Tree species Acien per cotopy don don don don	- Height ~₹	Crown s	pread dia. <u>~ 3</u>	
Assessor(s) Tools used	23 980	Tir	ne frame 6	70
			2	
Target Assessment				
	1	Target zone	Occupancy	ا ا ـ
Target description		Target within drip line Target within 1 x Ht. Target within	rate 2	move target? Restriction practical?
Target description	Target protection	* = * ± * = :	1 → rare	
a 20		1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1	3 frequent 4 constant	move targe Restriction practical?
4 4		10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 1		
1 House (IV NAIN)	NOVE		4 />	
2 Povaning	Li		4 >	< X
	17	1./	2 >	+ X
71,200,000	17		2 1	, ,
4 Mar M.				
Site Factors				
History of failures Multiple 4m3 FALLS 4-8"	Topography	FlatZ Slope□	% Asp	ect <u>3W</u>
Site changes None ☐ Grade change ☐ Site clearing ☐ Changed soil hydrology ☐ Roo	ot cuts Describe	ROT FLAM	E BURGE	-
Soil conditions Limited volume ☐ Saturated ☐ Shallow ☐ Compacted ☐ Pavement	over roots 2 90	% Describe	As a limit of the second of the second	
Prevailing wind direction Common weather Strong winds Lee □ Snow E	☐ Heavy rain ☐ De	scribe		
Tree Health and Species				
The Health and Species to	Nome.	O/ Chlassia	9/ Nocret	in 9/
Vigor Low □ Normal A High □ Foliage None (seasonal) □ None (dead Pests/Biotic CAVITY RUTTON UNIX SP. Abiotic)LI Normal	% Uniorotic _	% Necrot	IC 76
Pests/Biotic And Contract Addition Addition				
Species failure profile Branches Trunk □ Roots □ Describe □				
Load Factors				
Wind exposure Protected ☐ Partia ☐ Full ☐ Wind funneling ☑	Relativ	e crown size Sn	nall Medium	l Large □
Crown density Sparse Normal Dense Interior branches Few Normal	l Dense □ Vines/N	Nistletoe/Moss C	16	
Recent or expected change in load factors	<u>.</u>			
Tree Defects and Conditions Affecting th	e Likelihood of Fail	ure		
		<u> </u>		
— Crown and Branch				_ /
Unbalanced crown LCR% Cracks			Lightning dam	· I
	ninant 🛘			
Broken/Hangers Number Max. dia Weak a	nttachments 🗆	c	avity/Nest hole	_% circ.
Over-extended branches Previous	us branch failures 🗷	S	imilar branches pre	sent 🗆 📗
Pruning history Dead/f	Missing bark Canker	s/Galls/Burls 🗖 S	apwood damage/d	lecay 🗆 📗
Crown cleaned Thinned Control Raised Control	□ Hear	twood decay 🗹	H KENDE	8 MB.
I Paducad ME Ionneo II Ion-Falleo II	nse growth FA (A			LMA
	•			
LINBVE S.S.I. PAST FAILURES. Car. FY OF	n			
	ze	Eall	Distance	
			Moderate ☐ Signi	
Code of delect	n defect N/A□ ood of failure Improba			
Likelihood of failure Improbable Possible Probable Probable Likelihood Likelihood		Die 🗖 Tossible 🗖	TODADIC 23 IIIIII	
—Trunk —	Roots	and Root Co	ollar —	1
// · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	buried/Not visible 🗖	Denth 4	ES. JE Stom dire	dling kr
	,			
Codominant stems ☐ Included bark ☐ Cracks ☐ Dead	□ De	cay 🗖	Conks/Mushro	
Sapwood damage/decay □ Cankers/Galls/Burls □ Sap ooze □ Ooze			Cavity 🗆	_% circ.
Lightning damage ☐ Heartwood decay ☐ Conks/Mushrooms ☐ Cracks	☐ Cut/Damaged	roots 🗹 🐪 Dista	nce from trunk	
	late lifting	MINES MO	nce from trunk Soil weak	ness 🗆
	•			
l vesto	nse growth			
Response growth Condition (s) of concern 400 Line Lost 5.500 2181 Condition	tion(s) of concern			
		e. II e.	ata ma s	
Part Size Fall Distance Part S	ize	Fall Di	stance —	
Load on defect N/A □ 3 Minor □ Moderate □ Significant □ Load o	n defect N/A	Minor □	Moderate ☐ Signi	ficant 🛮
	ood of failure Improba	ble Possible	Probable 🗆 Imm	inent 🗆 🌖
		-		

								Likelihood										į.	C	iseqi	100		
Target (Target number or description)								Failure					Impact				Failure & Impa (from Matrix 1)				ueni	ces	
		Tre	Tree part Condition(s) of concern		• •	Improbable	Possible	Probable	Imminent	Very low	Low	Medium	High	Unlikely	Somewhat	Likely	Very likely	Negligible	Minor	Significant	Severe	Risk rating (from Matrix 2	
		he	LMB		CAUIT	1 140 - was			×				X			×					PLS		
				-	<i>u</i> -	- 23	III II		0					e		8:33		10	X .		228		0001
																				- 2 - 1 - 1 - 1			
	o Lityralism c																				-		
				+																	H		
11., U																			0.9				8
l <i>atrix I</i> . Likel	ihood mat	rix.								+	_		-	+		-	7			-	1		
Likelihood	Secret.		Likeliho	od o	f Impact	0.5 83				+			+	4		-	-			-	4		-
of Failure	Very low	Lo	w	N	/ledium	High					-												
Imminent	Unlikely	1.	what likely		Likely	Very likely							Т										
Probable	Unlikely	_			ewhat likely	Likely				1				1		-	-		_	-	-		
Possible mprobable	Unlikely	Unli Unli	_		Jnlikely Jnlikely	Somewhat like Unlikely	ely																
		•	vei\	annose (Dimely	Officery	o Fortig																
l <i>atrix</i> 2. Risk	rating mat	J'IX.	11926-91055		Vacanti del del de	One Agricultura (Agric			-	-			+	-		+	+	-		+	1		
Likelihood	A COURT OF THE PARTY		Conse	quen	ces of Failur											1					_		
Failure & Impact		egligible	Mino		Significant	Severe																	
Very like	ly	Low	Moder	ate	High	Extreme			887		-		+	+		+	+			+	+		1
Likely	EACH EA	Low	Moder	-	High	High	115								_					No	eeb		
Somewhat !		Low	Low	_	Moderate		2								6							47	
Unlikely otes, expla		Low	ons Low		Low	Low										Λ		/		1	BA	L	
			200000000											Ti	41	¥,				À	*		9
0142-42	-41						_			-	/			N.		1	r P		V	15\ WO!	CP	The state of the s	1
litigation	ptions	1E ?	NEL	سرار												' স							res
——K	, ,					- FRANCE		-00			1.1		-			- 70		T.					
					· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·													_					
																		_ "				_	
		g	Low		Moderate VI	High 🗍 🕒 🖼	(trem	יופו															
verall tree	risk ratin	-			/ \	High (E)				Rec	omi	men	ded	ins	pec	tion	inte	rval	ı				

Basic Tree Risk Assessment Form Address/Tree location 11/15 Hibit Height ~551 Crown spread dia. Tree species ACH SACHALINAY **Target Assessment** Target zone Target number Occupancy within Ht. rate 1-rare **Target description Target protection** 2 - occasional 3 - frequent 4 - constant 2 SIDEWALKS **Site Factors** Site changes None ☐ Grade change ☐ Site clearing ☐ Changed soil hydrology ☐ Root cuts ☐ Describe Soil conditions Limited volume Saturated Shallow Compacted Pavement over roots 7 % Describe 86. Spanne Hom Prevailing wind direction _____ Common weather Strong winds □ Ice □ Snow □ Heavy rain □ Describe _____ Tree Health and Species Profile Foliage None (seasonal) ☐ None (dead) ☐ Normal _____% Vigor Low ▼ Normal □ High □ Pests/Biotic KRETZUMARY DENSIA?/CA Species failure profile Branches Trunk Roots Describe Box 1 + Rook To Let **Load Factors** Wind exposure Protected ☐ Partial Full ☐ Wind funneling ☐ 361. U/15 Her Relative crown size Small ☑ Medium ☐ Large ☐ Crown density Sparse ▼ Normal □ Dense □ Interior branches Few □ Normal □ Dense □ Vines/Mistletoe/Moss □ □ Recent or expected change in load factors Tree Defects and Conditions Affecting the Likelihood of Failure — Crown and Branches — Unbalanced crown □ LCR____ Cracks 🔲 Lightning damage Dead twigs/branches Codominant & S Line DYWC ARC Included bark Number Broken/Hangers Weak attachments _ Cavity/Nest hole____% circ. Over-extended branches Previous branch failures Similar branches present 🔼 **Pruning history** Dead/Missing bark ☐ Cankers/Galls/Burls ☐ Sapwood damage/decay ☐ Crown cleaned Raised RAPPLY Topped Conks □ Heartwood decay □_ Reduced Lion-tailed Flush cuts Part Part Part Response growth ____ Condition (s) of concern _ Fall Distance Part Size _ Fall Distance -Load on defect N/A 🗆 Minor

Moderate □ Significant □ Load on defect N/A 🗆 Minor □ Moderate □ Significant □ Likelihood of failure Improbable ☐ Possible ☐ Probable 💢 Imminent ☐ Likelihood of failure Improbable Possible Probable Imminent —Trunk — - Roots and Root Collar -Dead/Missing bark Abnormal bark texture/color □ Collar buried/Not visible Depth Stem girdling 1 Codominant stems Included bark Cracks Dead Conks/Mushrooms □ Sapwood damage/decay ☐ Cankers/Galls/Burls ☐ Sap ooze Cavity 🗆 ____ % circ. Ooze 🗆 Lightning damage ☐ Heartwood decay ☐ Conks/Mushrooms ☐ Cracks Cut/Damaged roots □ Distance from trunk Depth ~~ 11 Cavity/Nest hole _____ % circ. Poor taper Root plate lifting Soil weakness Lean _____° Corrected? _____ Response growth -Condition (s) of concern KRETZENMALIA Response growth __ Condition(s) of concern ____ Part Size ___ Fall Distance . Part Size -Minor □ Moderate 🕱 Significant □ Load on defect N/A 🗆 Load on defect N/A 🗆 Minor □ Moderate □ Significant □ Likelihood of failure ∤mprobable ☐ Possible ☐ Probable ☐ Imminent ☐ **Likelihood of failure** Improbable ☐ Possible ☐ Probable ☐ Imminent ☐

